FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON MEDFORD DIVISION

THE NATIONAL GRANGE OF THE, ORDER OF PATRONS OF HUSBANDRY, Case No. 1:20-cv-00600-CL **ORDER**

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE HEMP GRANGE and THOMAS DUBIEL,

Defendants.

AIKEN, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed his Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (doc. 23) on August 23, 2021. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. No objections have been timely filed. Although this relieves me of my obligation to perform a de novo review, I retain the obligation to "make an informed, final determination." Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), overruled on other grounds, United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121–22 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). The Magistrates Act does not specify a

standard of review in cases where no objections are filed. Ray v. Astrue, 2012 WL

1598239, *1 (D. Or. May 7, 2012). Following the recommendation of the Rules

Advisory Committee, I review the F&R for "clear error on the face of the record[.]"

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note (1983) (citing Campbell v. United States

District Court, 501 F.2d 196, 206 (9th Cir. 1974)); see also United States v. Vonn, 535

U.S. 55, 64 n.6 (2002) (stating that, "[i]n the absence of a clear legislative mandate,

the Advisory Committee Notes provide a reliable source of insight into the meaning

of" a federal rule). Having reviewed the file of this case, I find no clear error.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that I ADOPT Judge Clarke's F&R

(doc. 23).

Dated this 16th day of September 2021.

/s/Ann Aiken

Ann Aiken United States District Judge